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APPENDIX A
EXAMPLES FOR FACTS TAXONOMY

We showcase the examples borrowed from the award-winning infographic dataset for all the 11 fact taxonomy listed in section 3.4.

**Value** (24.5%; 194): Retrieve the exact value of data attribute(s) under a set of specific criteria. Such facts answer the question of “what is/are the value(s) of \{A, B...\} in the criteria of \{X, Y...\}” (Figure 1), e.g., how many horses have won two out of three Triple Crown Races?

![Figure 1. An example of the "value" type of fact](image1)

**Proportion** (15.0%; 119): Measure the proportion of selected data attribute(s) within a specified set. Such facts answer the question of “what is the proportion of data attribute(s) \{A, B...\} in a given set \(S\)” (Figure 2), e.g., what is the percentage of protein in the diet on Sunday?

![Figure 2. An example of the "proportion" type of fact](image2)

**Difference** (14.4%; 114): Compare any two/more data attributes or compare with previous values along with the time series. Such facts answer the question of “what is the difference between data attributes \{A, B...\} within a given set \(S\)” (Figure 3), e.g., what is the bed-blocking situation of a local London hospital compared with the UK average?

![Figure 3. An example of the "difference" type of fact](image3)

**Distribution** (11.5%; 91): Demonstrate the amount of value shared across the selected data attribute or show the breakdown of all data attributes. Such facts answer the question of “what is the summary/overall distribution over the data attribute(s) \{A, B...\}” (Figure 4), e.g., what is the number distribution of all the unicorn companies over their age?

**Trend** (10.2%; 81): Present a general tendency over a time segment. Such facts answer the question of “what is the trend of the data attributes \{A, B...\} over a period of time \(T\)” (Figure 5), e.g., what is the budget trend for the border patrol program from 1990 to 2013?

**Rank** (9.1%; 72): Sort the data attributes based on their values and show the breakdown of selected data attributes. Such facts answer the question of “what is the order of the selected data attribute(s) \{A, B...\}” (Figure 6), e.g., what are the top three reasons for consumers to engage in showrooming?

**Aggregation** (5.5%; 44): Calculate the descriptive statistical indicators (e.g., average, sum, count, etc.) based on the
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Figure 4. An example of the “distribution” type of fact [4].

Figure 5. An example of the “trend” type of fact [5].

Figure 6. An example of the “rank” type of fact [6].

Figure 7. An example of the “aggregation” type of fact [7].

APPENDIX B

EXAMPLE FACT SHEETS FROM DATA SHOT

We provide more example fact sheets generated by DataShot in Figure 12–Figure 19. Meanwhile, we also demonstrate several unsatisfied examples to show the capabilities of DataShot and identify the problems that we should improve in our future work.

1) Example fact sheets with unclear semantic meaning in the data fields (e.g., the binary data case); or the semantic dependency issue as discussed in the Limitations subsection (e.g., Shanghai and China should not be treated as two separate fields as they share close semantic relations). An unclear explanation example as the chart marked in the red frame in Figure 17.

2) Example fact sheets with insufficient icons/images source (Figure 17). As our local image datasets cannot cover all the topics/entities provided in the source data sheet, we designed the algorithm to pick up any available icons/images by traversing all the potential subjects in the description.

3) Example fact sheets with inefficient layout (Figure 18). The automatic layout algorithm currently optimizes fact sheet elements based on their contents and spatial relationships. However, one special case may happen when the algorithm cannot effectively utilize all the presentation space. For example, the chart in the red frame of Figure 18 shows that DataShot makes the last chart occupy the last row of the fact sheet.

4) Example fact sheets with color scheme inconsistency problem (Figure 19). Two different charts in one fact sheet share the same color encoding scheme in the marked-out area under the current design rules.

APPENDIX C

QUESTIONNAIRE DESIGN

The following questions are designed for collecting participants’ experience of using DataShot.

1) The facts extracted from the data are insightful.
2) The data facts presented by DataShot are comprehensive.
3) I found the visual design in DataShot was easy to understand.
4) DataShot was effective for providing facts from tabular data.
5) I thought the visual design was aesthetically appealing.
6) DataShot could provide expressive visual presentations.
7) DataShot was useful for presenting interesting facts extracted from the tabular data.
8) DataShot was easy for me to get the infographic fact sheet from the tabular data.
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9 Facts about Swimming

For the Gender of Female, the Activity of **Swimming** accounts for the maximal of Attacks. 
**24.1%**

For the Activity of Swimming, the Gender of Male accounts for the maximal of Attacks. 
**86.7%**

For the Fatal in Female, the Activity of **Swimming** accounts for the significant amount of Attacks. 
**30.9%**

For the Activity of Swimming, the Fatal of **Not Fatal** accounts for the maximal of Attacks. 
**62.5%**

For the Fatal in Male, the Activity of **Swimming** accounts for the maximal of Attacks. 
**33.8%**

For all Activity, the Activity of **Swimming** accounts for the maximal of Attacks. 
**19.2%**

Figure 12. An example fact sheet of swimming event based on SharkAttacks.csv.
Figure 13. An example fact sheet of sports car sales based on CarSales.csv.
11 Facts about BMW

a fact sheet based on CarSales.csv

The Sales of **Sporty, BMW**
Decrease over the Year.

For the Category of S.U.V, the Sales of 2011 increased compared with 2010.

For the Category of Sporty, the Sales of 2009 decreased compared with 2008.

**Compact, S.U.V, Midsize** are the top 3 Category(s) in the Year of 2009.

2009 is a turning point over the Year.

For the BMW in 2009, the Category of **Compact** accounts for the significant amount of Sales.

For the Brand of BMW, the Year of 2007 accounts for the maximal of Sales.

For the BMW in 2011, the Category of **Compact** accounts for the significant amount of Sales.

For the Brand of BMW, the Category of **Compact** accounts for the maximal of Sales.

Figure 14. An example fact sheet of BMW sales based on CarSales.csv.
Figure 15. An example fact sheet of golden award winning event based on SummerOlympics.csv.
Figure 16. An example fact sheet of fatal event based on SharkAttacks.csv.
Figure 17. An example fact sheet of not fatal event based on SharkAttacks.csv.
Figure 18. An example fact sheet of Ford sales based on CarSales.csv.
Figure 19. An example fact sheet of football event based on SummerOlympics.csv.